1	
2	
3	
4	BOARD MEETING
5	OF THE
6	CALIFORNIA PRISON INDUSTRY AUTHORITY
7	
8	
9	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2017
10	10:00 to 11:02 a.m.
11	
12	
13	Held at:
14	R. J. DONOVAN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
15	480 ALTA ROAD
16	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, 92179
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported By: ERIC L. THRONE, CSR No. 7855, RMR, CRR, CRC
25	
	DIAMOND COURT REPORTERS

1	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2017, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
2	10:03 A.M.
3	CHAIR KERNAN: All right. We'll call this meeting to
4	order at 10:03 a.m.
5	I would also like to note that this meeting is being
6	held at a publicly noticed location.
7	Madam Secretary, will you please take the roll.
8	SECRETARY VUONG: Chair Kernan.
9	CHAIR KERNAN: Here.
10	SECRETARY VUONG: Vice-Chair Singh. Mr. Singh, are
11	you on the phone?
12	MR. PATTILLO: Mr. Singh?
13	VICE-CHAIR SINGH: Yes.
14	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Aghakhanian?
15	DR. AGHAKHANIAN: Here.
16	MR. PATTILIO: There we go.
17	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Davidson?
18	MR. DAVIDSON: Here.
19	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Davison?
20	MS. DAVISON: Here.
21	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Jenkins?
22	MR. JENKINS: Here.
23	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Jennings? Mr. Jennings, are
24	you on the line?
25	MR. PATTILIO: He's not there yet.
26	VICE-CHAIR SINGH: He's not in yet.
27	MR. PATTILIO: Okay.
28	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Kelly?

1	VICE-CHAIR SINGH: He's not here either he's on his
2	way though.
3	SECRETARY VUONG: Can you let us know when so we can
4	have the record reflect?
5	VICE-CHAIR SINGH: Yes.
6	MR. PATTILLO: Keep going.
7	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Martin?
8	MR. PATTILLO: Not here.
9	SECRETARY VUONG: Member McGuire?
10	MR. MCGUIRE: Here.
11	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Steeb?
12	MS. STEEB: Here.
13	SECRETARY VUONG: Let the record show we have a
14	quorum of eight members.
15	CHAIR KERNAN: Okay. Very good.
16	Well, welcome, Board Members, and happy holidays to
17	all of you, and thank you for making the trip.
18	As Chair of the Board and Secretary of CDCR, it is my
19	pleasure to welcome members of the board to the hosting
20	location for this meeting at R.J. Donovan Correctional
21	Facility, which is one of our newer prisons opening in 1987.
22	It's also another one of our prisons that has an
23	impressive variety of programs that are offered to inmates
24	by the Division of Rehabilitative Programs, of course
25	CalPIA, and also a strong bases of community volunteers.
26	I know a tour of this institution will follow. The
27	Board Members are looking forward to having it.
28	You have all seen the programs offered here and the

difference they make in the lives of the offenders housed here. And while I don't see the Warden here, I'd like to thank him for hosting this.

I would now like to open it up to the Board, if any of you all would like to make a comment.

Seeing none, at this time, I would like to note any members of the public who are present today and that there will be opportunities for public comment after each item is presented to the Board.

If any member of the public would like to comment, please fill out a Speaker Request Form and hand it to the Board Secretary. In the meantime, we will now move on to the General Manager's Comments.

MR. PATTILIO: Good morning, Mr. Chair. My name is Chuck Pattillo, I'm the General Manager of PIA and the Executive Officer of the Prison Industry Board. Thank you for coming to RJD, everybody, including the Secretary.

We actually have 236 budgeted positions down here for offenders. Our operations here are our bakery, laundry, shoes, construction services, facilities maintenance, and support services.

Today we have four total agenda items. We're going to actually start on an Information Item. First, before we go in, a couple of things to note:

We finished our ACA audit that I sent out to you, and we actually got 100 percent on our first time ever audit of the ACA. So in January, we will get that credential in Orlando. If anybody is interested in going, we can set your

trip up for that. 1 2 Are you in Orlando? 3 CHAIR KERNAN: I'll be in Orlando. MR. PATTILLO: Okay. I'll get a note to you on the 4 5 day that it's going for presentation. 6 CHAIR KERNAN: 7 MR. PATTILLO: Even though CDCR will be doing 8 self-certification in the future and so it's going to be 9 very tough for us to get it, the fact they actually went 10 through first made it easier for us because we had already 11 done all the work, so there wasn't really a whole lot of 12 work for us to get that accreditation. 13 I'm trying to think here. So the other issues that 14 are going on right now is a couple -- we opened a new 15 technology training center at Folsom. We also opened the 16 one at CIW, if you weren't there. So the budget today 17 includes a little bit of additional funding for more 18 technology programs. 19 Today's reason for this meeting, the only reason we 20 have been doing a budget is we have an increased 21

reimbursement from Department of Corrections of 3.3 million.

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

When we did our budget back in July, we didn't have that final agreement, and that's for us to run CTE programs in specified areas. This funding today adds on Pelican Bay, CCWF, Division of Juvenile Justice Ventura, some more funding for CIW and some minor cleanup on the technology programs.

What this will allow us to do, all female

institutions will have a coding program, and they will all have CAD eventually. We still have to do a little bit of work at CIW to get up and running on that.

We are also using The Last Mile as our contractors for the time being, because we actually don't have instructors in the system that teach coding. So it's one of these things that we're all learning at the same time in getting instructors on the DRP side certified to teach in this training program.

The other issues that we're going to talk about today, we're going to talk about the reimbursement. We're going to talk a little bit about the savings that were generated from the General Facilities Maintenance and Repair and the redirection of those funds.

And then in addition, three positions is all we're adding in this budget. The supervisor here for Donovan Shoes, the IUOE supervisor, and a Senior Photographer for the Marketing Division.

May I answer any questions before we dive into this?

Okay. Post-this, we're going to do a short tour out there that we're set up for.

I can take you to Action Item first -- excuse me -- Information Item C, Status of CalPIA's General Facilities Maintenance and Repair Program.

So this is a Career Technical Education program. And to give you a little bit of history, about four or five years ago we started, as you recall, with health care facilities maintenance and that is 1,500 offender positions,

500 staff positions.

And while the purpose of that mainly is to meet the needs of health care facilities only, we're not talking — we don't do work outside the health care facilities in this issue — our primary reason for doing this was job skills; because what we found is — and Mr. McGuire can attest to this — there's a significant vacancy in the Custodian and Maintenance Series in the Department of General Services and a lot of state agencies.

This type of training, the fact that they're working for a state agency in health care, when they get out they can actually obtain these jobs through DGS and other state agencies.

Milo Fitch will come up and talk in a second about we've made some working agreements with Gov Ops agencies in Sacramento, where we are going to now have testing of offenders for these jobs before they leave the institution. So we will have job offers before they leave, and there's a lot of job availability.

On the GFMR, General Facilities Maintenance Repair, it's the same model. What drove this was -- and I've got a couple of sheets that Thy has done for me.

There's two different sheets here and I just wanted to show you. They were taken a year apart. And as part of HFM, what we do on a weekly basis is -- or a daily basis -- we're putting in work orders. And those work orders aren't serviced by us, they are serviced by outside CDCR Plan Ops.

But as you can see what's happened over the last

couple of years is that they continue to grow. In the 12 months — and I'll see what document you have first. You should have the one that has 1,050 on the bottom right-hand corner. That was 12 months ago, and those are outstanding work orders in healthcare facilities that are over 30 days old.

And there's a lot of reasons why these things are building up. One, CDCR is having a lot of difficulty filling Plant Ops positions. The other reason, there's more work than possibly to get it done. We have some folks that say they can't work anymore overtime to do this.

What's happened in the last 12 months though, those work orders have grown to like 57 percent, 1,649. So in just a span of 12 months, the outstanding work orders are growing, and growing, and growing, and that's a big issue with the Receiver about stuff that's just not getting fixed because we don't have the resources to do it.

So our proposal which the Board approved last July 1st, was to hire 35, one at each institution, Building Maintenance Worker to oversee ten to 12 offenders working on these work orders that are outstanding and then provide them the training.

We have a six -- excuse me -- we have a seven module training that's good for sentence credits of three weeks apiece to teach them the same skills just like we've done for HFM so they can get these kinds of positions when they get out also.

They can't come back and work in the institutions for

at least a year. That's usually when we ask folks to come back. They have to be out of the institution for a year, then they are allowed to come back; but there are plenty of other places in the State of California that they can actually do this kind of work.

2.5

We've had some issues getting it rolled out. We have had some back and forth with Operating Engineers and also with CalHR based on the level of position that was designated for the facility maintenance worker. And Randy may come up and comment.

CalHR has stated that we have the appropriate positions, we have done meet and confer with the Bargaining Unit of CCOA, I have gone through that; we have meet and confer notice with Operating Engineers — there was a little bit of a mix-up whether it went out or not, but it did — that is not until January, but we have been given the authorization to go ahead and roll this out. We've advertised and we've actually hired, I think, probably 30 of the 35 people that we need and we have them in training right now.

What has happened, though, is we have been delayed in getting this rolled out. We appropriated \$4.9 million for it, and we have \$1.9 million in savings. And so as we get into the budget, I wanted to explain this first, the \$1.9 million.

Our proposal for that \$1.9 million is three things: Increasing our expenditures in our technology programs, there are some strategic planning funds in there for joint strategic planning between us and CDCR, and the last part is about a million dollars for counties so we can do pilots with either Probation or Sheriff's Departments to do our apprenticeship programs that we do so well with the trade unions, taking those over to the counties to see if they can do them themselves. We'd up-front fund four of them for a year.

I have talked to the Secretary and we're looking to do it in a competitive nature for folks. We just don't want to hand it to folks. We want to make sure they have a vested interest in it, and also that they demonstrate that they have the ability at the end of the year to go out and get funding for it. There's plenty of funds for them to continue funding after the fact.

We do have one additional county program and that is with San Diego. We are working to loan a position to them so they can do health care facilities maintenance at the San Diego County medical facility for their jail.

Just like the same problems that CDCR had over the last two years, the county jails are starting to have them now. So these same programs have worked out well for us and they'll work out for the counties. So we want to help them out with that.

In January, 2018, we will begin activation of GFMR and we will conclude by 2018. We still have some issues with Operating Engineers holding out that we're trying to get worked out and we hope that will work.

And then the other issue that I've talked to the

Secretary about, there was an agreement earlier that this funding would be up in two years and CDCR would put together a BCP for it, and it didn't happen this year. So we're looking for alternative ways to fund it next year.

One of those includes working with the Receiver to split the expenditure program. We believe that we could fund probably half of it in the next year, but we have to find that next half of the funding.

There are 400 offenders and 35 staff that are running the program. And that's the informational portion of that and I just wanted to explain that before we got into the actual budget part. Any questions? Okay.

So we're going to go back to Action Item A, and this is the Midyear plan. We're going to do two things. You'll probably want to pull this out of your plastic lining and it should be the one that says "revised." And I apologize for having to send a second one out, but I made an error on something and we had to revise. I apologize.

Our midyear outlines our adjustments for the fiscal year. As I said, the only thing we're doing is accommodating the grant -- excuse me -- the reimbursement of \$3.3 million of the three positions, ten offender positions, and three new staff positions.

Our costs of goods sold are only supposed to increase by \$300,000, and our estimated revenues of \$237.7 million don't change from where we were.

Our Selling and Administration expenses are to have a minor increase. But at the end of the day, our net gain is

going to increase \$1.9 million from .9 million to \$2.8 million. And if you go to this page, this is where I'm working off of -- I'm sorry, that's the page I'm working off, page 1 -- you can see in the bottom right there it goes from \$915 to \$2.76 million.

If you look at the far right column where you see the \$2.756 million, if you go up to the second red number for educational reimbursement 6091, and that's up from 2761 which was midyear, that's the increase in reimbursement from DRP.

What you also notice above there is we've also increased our current technical education expenditures from \$11.8 million to \$12.3 million, and that is to add a contract in for The Last Mile to be the operators of the coding program. That's what they do at San Quentin.

We found that model works very well and we'll get DRP staff trained so they can take it over in two years. Our agreement with DRP is a two-year agreement to keep running this program.

As far as that for the budget, that's really the only changes that we have in this portion of the operating budget. We have some capital issues that we'll talk about in a second.

But is there any questions on this financial plan?

CHAIR KERNAN: Okay. Thank you.

As a reminder, if any member of the public would like to make a comment regarding this item, please have a Speaker Request Form filled out and then come forward and state your

```
name and affiliation.
 1
 2
           Can I get a motion to approve Action Item A?
 3
           DR. AGHAKHANIAN:
                             So moved.
           CHAIR KERNAN: Second?
 4
 5
           MR. DAVIDSON: Second.
           CHAIR KERNAN: Board Secretary, please call the roll.
 6
 7
           SECRETARY VUONG: Member Aghakhanian?
 8
           DR. AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.
 9
           SECRETARY VUONG: Member Davidson?
10
           MR. DAVIDSON: Aye.
11
           SECRETARY VUONG: Member Davison?
12
           MS. DAVISON:
                        Aye.
13
           SECRETARY VUONG: Member Kelly, are you on the line?
14
           VICE-CHAIR SINGH: No not yet.
15
           SECRETARY VUONG: Not yet? Okay.
           Member Jenkins?
16
17
           MR. JENKINS: Aye.
18
           SECRETARY VUONG: Member McGuire?
           MR. MCGUIRE: Aye.
19
20
           SECRETARY VUONG: Member Steeb?
21
           MS. STEEB: Aye.
22
           SECRETARY VUONG: Vice-Chair Singh.
23
           VICE-CHAIR SINGH: Aye.
24
           SECRETARY VUONG: Chair Kernan?
25
           CHAIR KERNAN: Aye.
26
           Secretary VUONG: The motion passes 8/0.
27
           MR. PATTILIO: The next is Action Item D. This is an
28
    appropriation of an additional $5.4 million of capital
```

expenditures. So if we can go to Exhibit B1. This is the actual Designation of Cash and it starts with B1. You can see all the red on the top there.

What we have done is we have gone back to previous appropriations and we've basically scraped together \$1.6 million of funds that we can hold from appropriations that we didn't need.

The big numbers were for a timekeeping system that we were able to use of CDCR's, a timekeeping system so we can save those expenditures.

The other big one was a huge savings of about \$231,000. We just did a Microsoft 365 upgrade. And I know this is a big thing out in the business world, but we did it over a weekend and we brought it in under our budget by \$231,000, and we have had zero hiccups, which is a lot of -- my state agency counterparts here can kind of tell you that's kind of unheard of to pull that one off.

MR. MCGUIRE: In six months.

MR. PATTILIO: So we did it over a weekend by very talented staff. And if you haven't used the Web-based system of Microsoft 365, it's pretty impressive, and not hiccup in the bunch. Well, that was a good savings.

We've taken those savings and reallocated those in the bottom. As you go through the list here — and I know I briefed everybody on the phone of what those expenditures were — the primary increases that are substantial are additional funding for Camp 12 for some training buildings up there and additional funding for parking expansion of

Camp 12.

We are currently training 112 offenders and we have at least 40 staff up at Camp 12. We basically have just run out of the parking area. So we're having the offenders build a new parking area for us.

As you go down to the bottom, you'll see additional funding for the CCWF coding program, \$240,000, and an additional for Pelican Bay is \$400,000. So these are funds that we're actually investing for CDCR based on the reimbursement that we got for operation costs.

If you'll go over to item B2, these are the field operations, capital increases, and if you'll go to page 2 of 2, it's \$3.7 million. I know we've gone through pretty much every line item with folks.

The biggest increase, I would say, was the labor cutting system at Folsom. We're moving from basically a closed area small gas cutting to more labor cutting, which is more of what we're seeing on the streets these days. We invested there and also at Solano.

The third part of this is we have to go back to the book. I know Ms. Steeb just put the book away.

MS. STEEB: It's not an easy feat.

MR. PATTILIO: The last part we will go to is

Designation of Cash on the last page, and we're looking at
the far right column.

Even though, as we know, the \$62 million that was transferred from our account to the General Fund for the overhead last year, even though we're not able to fund that

anymore because we have now budget language or statute that says "We shall not fund it," we're still going to carry that on our books.

And for two reasons: One, we want to be transparent; and the second reason is because in Solano, as far as control, we still do have that liability on the books. So to take that money basically off of our books, we have to expense it as -- well, it's an expense.

And what that did was drive us into a \$53 million loss last year, paper loss. Our operating profit was about \$12 million offset by the \$62 million and some other. We haven't gone, our audited financials have not been released. It seems year after year we've got more questions, or our auditors do, and they wanted to make sure they were doing it properly, and the expense is the proper way to do it.

So that brings us back to our right-hand column there. As far as our beginning cash is adjusted now, it's \$61 million a year. And as you go down the column those are all the expenditures that we just talked about, including depreciation of revenues as you go down the line.

The number that I'm looking for, as you look down the total cash commitment, 5153 on the bottom, it's about five numbers up, that is the cash balance that we would have in reserve if we spent everything today for what we need.

What's also included in there is the expenditures, the set aside. We've set aside \$9.9 million for accrued leave time, and we have workers' compensation liability set aside at \$15.4 million. It's actually brought down from \$17

million since we have been closing cases out.

If you go back to page 1 of this item here for the column that says 2016-17 Unaudited Actuals, go down to the very bottom, that will be the number the 53,903, which will be the number we use in about 30 days. We've notified Finance that this is -- we gave them the display, they've got this number. I just have one other individual I need to talk to, to make sure I give them the heads-up over at GO that --

MR. PATTILLO: Yeah, that we talk to them on phone.

I didn't talk on the PBM, I wasn't able to, but the deputy of MSA. It's not an official number, but it will be in about 30 days. But before that, I will make all of our notifications. I don't want anybody to be surprised about this, but we've talked this through on why we're doing it in this manner.

CHAIR KERNAN: Is there any consequences whatsoever given the \$50 million dollar loss?

MR. PATTILIO: I don't think there's any consequence. We're fine with that. I mean that's the way it is. Someone could say, you know, "They lost \$53 million." "Well, this is why." I think what's more important for us is to carry the balances of the liabilities on our books; because two years down the road we're now saying "Hey, we're doing great," and then someone will say, "Yeah, but you forget about that \$90 million of unfunded liability that you've got over here."

1	I think keeping it on the books in a transparent
2	order with everybody is the best way to go. I don't think
3	there's going to be a real downfall or a problem.
4	CHAIR KERNAN: Okay.
5	DR. AGHAKHANIAN: I agree.
6	MR. PATTILLO: And this is the recommendation of our
7	auditor, your independent financial auditor.
8	DR. AGHAKHANIAN: What we do we use for that, do we
9	use an outside
10	MR. PATTILLO: Yeah. We use an outside auditing firm
11	for accounting.
12	DR. AGHAKHANIAN: The accounting?
13	MR. PATTILIO: Internal/external auditors.
14	DR. AGHAKHANIAN: Okay.
15	MR. PATTILLO: Independent auditors that keep it like
16	it is.
17	DR. AGHAKHANIAN: Okay.
18	MR. PATTILIO: Could I answer any questions for the
19	Designation of Cash?
20	CHAIR KERNAN: Do we have any questions?
21	Would any member of the public like to make a comment
22	regarding this item? If so, please come forward and state
23	your name and affiliation. Nobody? Okay.
24	Is there a motion to approve Action Item D?
25	DR. AGHAKHANIAN: So moved.
26	CHAIR KERNAN: Could I get a second?
27	MR. DAVIDSON: Second.
28	CHAIR KERNAN: Board Secretary, please call the roll.

1	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Aghakhanian?
2	DR. AGHAKHANIAN: Aye.
3	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Davidson?
4	MR. DAVIDSON: Aye.
5	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Davison?
6	MS. DAVISON: Aye.
7	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Jenkins?
8	MR. JENKINS: Yes.
9	SECRETARY VUONG: Member McGuire?
10	MR. MCGUIRE: Aye.
11	SECRETARY VUONG: Member Steeb?
12	MS. STEEB: Aye.
13	SECRETARY VUONG: Vice-Chair Singh?
14	VICE-CHAIR SINGH: Aye.
15	SECRETARY VUONG: Chair Kernan?
16	CHAIR KERNAN: Aye.
17	SECRETARY VUONG: Motion passes, 8/0.
18	CHAIR KERNAN: Very good.
19	MR. PATTILLO: Information Item D is one of our last
20	two items, and I'm going to have Mr. Fitch come on up.
21	MR. FITCH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, the Board.
22	So we're intending on starting a case planning
23	initiative at five institutions the beginning of January and
24	those are Folsom Prison, Folsom's Women's Prison,
25	San Quentin, CCWF, and CIW.
26	And what we've done is we've hired some very talented
27	retired annuitants that were all Correctional
28	Counselor III's, Associate Wardens, and we've done some

pretty good training to this point to get them prepared.

We put everybody through a Motivational Interviewing class of three days, and we brought out the University of Cincinnati, Ohio, for case planning training, and we've put them all through that. So we've also done training with SOMS and with Compas, and I think we're about ready to kick this off.

The intent behind this is to develop individualized case plans for offenders that are working in CalPIA, to see if we can demonstrate a good protocol that will probably be taken over by CDCR. The intent is to increase, you know, the offender's attachment to what their case plan is, using motivational interviewing techniques.

And we hope to establish a good working relationship with those retired annuitants who will be our case planners, with the offenders, on an ongoing basis.

No less than monthly will they meet together, and the idea is to have that face-to-face relationship which we are missing right now on an ongoing basis.

The techniques that will be used will be referred to in your -- if you could please move to the PowerPoint slides on the next to the last page, the techniques with the face-to-face in person.

So right now we have many good programs that have been implemented by CDCR through the DRP side. And what we intend to do is with those face-to-face meetings, make those referrals to those programs, utilizing what we currently have in place with the half-time, which we're doing with our

substance use disorder treatment.

If those classes are occurring when they are at work, we'll be able to also -- and it's also maybe a two-hour class -- release them on what's called a ducat to go to those classes and then they would come back to work. The additional benefit though is to get the offender to buy into how their change is going to occur, rather than us telling them, "This is what you need to do."

I think this is a very exciting proposal that we're embarking on, and I hope that we'll increase the recidivism rates, or lower that rather, by them wanting to make that change.

Some of the biggest problems we all have, you know, when we look at those criminogenic needs, is trying to change somebody's attitudes, values, and beliefs.

We're doing a great job preparing them for the work environment. But one of the things I think we can improve on is dealing with their own issues, their other cognitive issues so that when they do get the job they can keep it.

So I don't know if there's any questions.

MR. JENKINS: Not questions, but just comments to speak in very strong support of this. This is a state-of-the-art, if I may, for casework/case management in the community corrections field. We are talking about implementing this type of a case management/case planning approach that integrates just what you describe.

The case plan objectives and components that are listed here, I can tell you that every probation department

not only in the state, but in the country that is following the literature around, quote/unquote, Evidence-Based Practices is doing this to the point of trying to teach probation officers and in some places parole agents motivational interviewing skills that are integrated directly into job skills.

I like how you constantly referenced engagement with the inmates. When I talked at The Forum about stages of change, the types of skills that frontline supervision officers, parole agents, and probation officers learn are designed to help facilitate individuals through those stages, and when it's well integrated, it directly supports that effort.

And I wasn't surprised. I smiled when I heard you say University of Cincinnati, and I'm curious who were the trainers.

MR. FITCH: We had Jennifer -- I'm drawing a blank on her last name -- come out. She's from the UCCI portion of the program there.

MR. JENKINS: Yeah. They're recognized around the country for doing this training.

Now the only thing I would strongly recommend is sustainability steps because what some departments that have ventured in this way have done is they want to stay away from what's called train and pray, where you train somebody and you pray they remember it.

You want to do true implementation steps so that the skills which are perishable can be really integrated into

what officers do, and there are a lot of follow-on training programs that do just that, including things -- I don't know if it's in the plans, but I did it in my department.

And among the things that we ended up doing was requiring probation officers to actually tape record their sessions with people on their caseload.

MR. FITCH: Yes.

MR. JENKINS: I mean they would have to, over the course of a year, give those tapes back to their supervisors who would give them feedback on how well they were implementing or using motivational interviewing.

I notice you have core correctional practices in here, and it's really gotten so sophisticated now -- I will be finished in a second -- that other departments who moved away from turning in tapes so that a person has to listen and evaluate how well they are doing now.

There's actually a software now, a voice dictation software that can listen to someone engage in motivational interviewing and some of these types of practices, and it's coded to pick up the key points so the software actually tells the individuals how well they are delivering on these skills.

So this is really, I just wanted to have my comments for the strong support of this, because this is what we should be doing.

MR. FITCH: Thank you. And if I may add to one of your comments. We had one person we have hired as one of those nine RA's, retired annuitants that will be evaluating

all of those case plans that are developed so we can get some continuity and make sure that we're doing what we were taught in the case planning portion of this.

We also made arrangements with the instructor back at University of Cincinnati, Ohio, that after we fine-tune ours, we're going to send those to them and they will evaluate and give us critique back.

MR. JENKINS: That's very smart.

MR. FITCH: We also mentioned some assessments. And we are adding a new assessment tool to compare and contrast with the current set of tools we use for the risk and needs. It will also be an Ohio Risk Assessment Tool.

MR. JENKINS: It's called the ORAS.

MR. FITCH: ORAS, correct.

The other thing we're doing to help prepare offenders to gain employment post-release, as part of this plan through our IEP portion, is obtaining Social Security cards and birth certificates prior to release so that they'll have those right to work documents in hand, and that's all part of this case plan issue.

CHAIR KERNAN: How is that going to integrate the assessment tools that CDCR is doing on these people?

MR. FITCH: So the assessment, the way that works right now is when somebody comes into one of the reception centers they are brand-new to the prison system and they are assessed. So those assessments may be skewed a little bit based upon their entry into the prison system.

They are then, prior to release, given another

assessment. And we are just going to, hopefully based upon our relationship that we've developed with the RA's, gain a little bit more trust so that hopefully we can have an accurate or a more accurate assessment done, and it is only intended to be a compare and contrast for other decisions that could be made moving forward.

MR. PATTILIO: The other reason we picked these five institutions is we have another pilot going on that's authorized, and that is a realignment resources where folks, to move as part of a whole apprenticeship model, before they can move in to IWL or Plant Ops they've got to go through us or DRP to get vocational training.

And we have a model all drawn out, and that's why we picked these five institutions, that's where we'll implement it at the same place, using the same retired annuitants. What the retired annuitants are also doing for us is they are going to committee for us and being basically our workforce for us.

It's a great model for us because it's really hard to -- say an administrator like Lisa Gularte -- for her to not only to run a business operation, but to have to go to committee all the time.

So we have folks who have been in this business a long time mostly because you knew them or grew up with them and they're some of the top talent in CDCR that want to do some part-time work. So it works out as a great model for us and they are great trainers for the rest of our staff.

CHAIR KERNAN: You're not recruiting actual employees

to work for you and take away my people, are you? 1 2 MR. PATTILLO: No, sir. 3 MS. STEEB: Can I ask a question? What's the time of 4 assessment on average when they first come in? 5 MR. FITCH: Right now it could be the term of our 6 sentence --MS. STEEB: Oh. MR. FITCH: -- so it's significant. And a lot of 8 9 changes in a person's life during a couple of years in prison. So that's the other reason we wanted to do our own 10 11 separate assessment. 12 MS. STEEB: Right. 13 MR. FITCH: It also helps with the case planner, 14 learning more about the offender. I really kind of 15 discourage them from going to the case file and reading it. I think there possibly could be some things in that case 16 17 file that would be upsetting and may not help with that 18 trust building, so they're going to do their own assessment. 19 MS. STEEB: And how much of the initial assessment is 20 self-reported? 21 MR. FITCH: So there's two different ones. One is a 22 risk to recidivate and that one is a static assessment and 23 it's done on factors that we just cannot change --24 MS. STEEB: Uh-huh. 25 MR. FITCH: -- you know, when was their first arrest, 26 how many arrests have they had and such? 27 MS. STEEB: Yeah. 28 We are more concerned with what their MR. FITCH:

1 needs are. And I think that even somebody who may be a very 2 low risk to recidivate, they could have some very high needs and we still need to deal with those folks. 3 MS. STEEB: So is there a self-assessment component? 4 5 And I'm asking because in our line of work, in the first 30 days we find that people often are very, they have a very 6 7 low self-awareness. 8 MR. FITCH: Right. 9 MS. STEEB: So even in 30 days there's a huge shift 10 because we're really working on helping them develop more 11 self-awareness, so we're just wondering. 12 MR. FITCH: Yes. There is a portion of the 13 self-assessment, but it's based upon the Ohio Risk 14 Assessment model. 15 Uh-huh. MS. STEEB: 16 And I haven't been trained in that yet, MR. FITCH: 17 that's coming up in February, so I can't speak to it in 18 great detail. 19 MR. JENKINS: And --20 I was just going to say every offender, MS. DAVISON: 21 when they come in, they do have risk and needs assessments 22 given to them and it's called COMPAS. 23 MS. STEEB: Uh-huh. 24 MS. DAVISON: And how they cooperate, how those 25 questions are asked of them when they come in, they may or 26 may not care, so it may or may not be accurate. 27 CHAIR KERNAN: Garbage in/garbage out. 28 MS. DAVISON: Exactly.

1 MS. STEEB: Yeah. 2 So how accurate it is, how it's used MS. DAVISON: 3 throughout the time the offender is incarcerated, garbage 4 in/garbage out. 5 MR. PATTILLO: Like thrown the TABE test too --6 MS. DAVISON: Exactly. 7 MR. PATTILLO: -- underscoring on the tape. 8 MR. JENKINS: And I'm not super-familiar with the 9 ORAS, I'm very familiar with the COMPAS, and I'm generally 10 familiar with a lot of needs and risks assessment, and all 11 of them have a self-report component. 12 Some of them have a very structured interview to kind 13 of counterbalance the self-report, so they are a combination 14 of the static factors and also dynamic factors. 15 So some of the dynamic factors are assessed from the 16 structured interview, which is why you have the motivational 17 interviewing skills. Instead of asking somebody a question 18 that they can answer yes or no to --19 MS. STEEB: Uh-huh. 20 MR. JENKINS: -- you ask open-ended questions that 21 are designed to elicit more dialogue. So there absolutely 22 is some self-reporting. Again that just ties to both the 23 static and the dynamic factors, but I don't know the tool 24 that has eliminated the whole issue of self-reporting. 25 MS. STEEB: Well, I'm not arguing that we should at 26 I'm just saying day one is going to look very 27 different usually --

MR. JENKINS: Right.

28

MS. STEEB: -- than day 30 or day 60, and so to have 1 2 a comparison, maybe not from day one 3 MR. JENKINS: You are saying to, if I may -- and I'd 4 be shocked if the University of Cincinnati didn't try it in 5 the training, is the value and the requirement of ongoing 6 assessment. MR. FITCH: Yeah. 8 MR. JENKINS: So --9 MS. STEEB: Uh-huh. 10 MR. JENKINS: -- you are doing an initial assessment. 11 COMPAS, for example, when we used it in San Diego, we did an 12 initial one, but then we did a follow-up at six months. 13 Some tools are designed for follow-ups and have 14 specific tools that are designed for follow-ups in less than 15 six months, but I think there's some discretion to be 16 implemented there. 17 MS. STEEB: Yeah. 18 MR. JENKINS: But your point is really well-taken, 19 the need for following up after. 20 CHAIR KERNAN: John, we don't reassess on an ongoing basis. We do the one coming in and the one going out. 21 22 That's it. MS. DAVISON: 23 And the other problem with COMPAS, although when we 24 first instituted it way back when, we did add a component 2.5 that asked a few extra questions for women. It is not 26 gender responsive at all, and that was one of my concerns. 27 MR. FITCH: So --28 MS. DAVISON: -- that COMPAS is not gender

responsive. 1 2 MR. FITCH: I think you went to a recent conference 3 with Robin that you participated in --4 MS. DAVISON: Yes. 5 MR. FITCH: -- and she talked to me also and there's actually some very good assessments out there --6 7 MS. DAVISON: Yeah. 8 MR. FITCH: -- also by Ohio that we are going to 9 be --10 MS. DAVISON: We were looking at it. It's called the 11 WRDA, yeah. 12 DR. ACHAKHANIAN: I have a question. Do we have 13 currently an educational item in here? I have been checking 14 on this. Do we have any kind of a pipeline right now for these students or individuals when they graduate? I'm 15 16 thinking credits to transfer to colleges. 17 And I'll tell you why; because we have several former incarcerated students at our institution who are success 18 19 stories. One of them just finished his bachelor's and came 20 in again with tattoos and he wants to study accounting now 21 and get a master's in accounting or something. 22 And I don't know if you have a pipeline or not for 23 that, but that is important --24 MR. FITCH: Yes. 25 DR. ACHAKHANIAN: -- that these are factors to be 26 considered. 27 I absolutely concur with you. And so MR. FITCH: 28 this is a separate part, this is not part of this

initiative, but I'll be happy to explain what we're doing.

We also hired a former educator. And what this person is doing, this retired annuitant, what this person is doing is developing all of our curriculum to a community college standard and also getting all of our instructors to be qualified to teach at the community college level.

So currently our culinary class is earning 13 units of college credit upon completion. Our goal is to move forward with all of our CTE courses to gain college level credit and push them to the next level of actually graduating. So that is one of the other initiatives we're working on.

DR. AGHAKHANIAN: I think we should -- if you have seen the data out right now -- there's a big drop in college enrollment and throughout the state for colleges, including the Chancellor who is out there trying to find ways.

That's why Assembly Member Santiago just passed a bill to pay for the first year of college education. Part of the intent is to increase enrollment. I think at one point if we can look at some kind of pipeline program where these students not only, you know, we're here and I call them students or scholars, you know, where we can create — you know, we can start in a smaller scale.

I can definitely help you with the LA County system, it is the biggest in the United States, and to try to see if there is a way where we can look into, you know, not only credit while they're there, but also create this pipeline for this individual where they can see light at the end of

the tunnel to transfer to a college.

Once they are in a college, then I think that changes a lot of their behavior and their standing. If we are interested in looking at this, I'd be more than happy to assist you, because right now the Chancellor is looking at the whole education system and trying to see how we can continue, you know, creating pipelines with different entities and institutions and we can start small scale and try to move up from there.

MR. FITCH: Thank you. I'd love to.

You made a great point. It redefines who they are. No longer are they viewing themselves as a parolee or a probationer, they are a college student. So that's very beneficial to them.

Any questions about the Information Item? I do have one additional comment, if there's nothing.

So I just want to give you a quick update on our recidivism study that we are conducting with UC Irvine. It is delayed quite a bit, it's probably about a year behind. We absolutely now are moving forward to get this done quickly.

We have all the data from CDCR, it's just been forwarded two days ago to the Department of Justice, and so we should be able to come back very soon with some good recidivism rates based upon our people that have gone through training or worked in an enterprise, plus a control group.

Those people that were scheduled to go to CALPIA, but

didn't get the opportunity, so we'll be able to compare and 1 2 contrast. 3 CHAIR KERNAN: How many years back? It will be a two-year study. We hoped to 4 MR. FITCH: 5 go for three, but there was an issue with the data being entered into SOMS, so we had to cut it back to two years. 6 MR. JENKINS: A quick question on that. 8 measure re-incarceration, reconviction, or what is the 9 measure? 10 MR. FITCH: So we're going with the BSCC's 11 definition, which is conviction of a misdemeanor or felony, 12 not return to prison. 13 MR. JENKINS: Within the two years after 14 post-release? 15 MR. FITCH: Correct. 16 MR. JENKINS: Okay. 17 CHAIR KERNAN: Good. Any other questions? 18 MR. FITCH: Thank you. 19 CHAIR KERNAN: Thank you. 20 MR. PATTILLO: The last piece I wanted to add real 21 quick before Milo steps out, one of the projects we've been 22 working on, the Secretary tasked us a couple of months ago 23 with engaging the state workforce investment Board with some 24 very significant apprenticeship training programs. 25 So we have been going through, you know, we have our 26 traditional trade apprenticeships. We went ahead with our 27 Department of Labor, Federal Apprenticeship Certification

and we're working on our State Board designation now.

28

MR. FITCH: So we have Department of Apprenticeship Standards approved for our metal fabrication and a couple other of our trades. I'm not sure exactly, I can't remember off the top of my head.

The U.S. Department of Labor, we're going prison by prison with all the enterprises in that prison, whereas the California side is by actual job or trade.

MR. PATTILIO: And the other piece of this was that the state workforce investment Board, if you're not familiar with it, they don't do any actual job implementation they write the work orders basically all the way throughout the state.

And what they did this year is they wanted to integrate the offenders into the state workforce plan. Milo was the drafter of that for CDCR on behalf of the Secretary, we took the lead on that, and what you're going to see in the state workforce plan coming out is all of Milo's work.

So I'm very happy that we were able to get involved in that. And actually it's not an issue of having our spin on it, we just got in there and we got it right, there was not a lot of editing, and it will be basically policy very shortly.

Plus my understanding --

MR. FITCH: Well, we requested \$28 million.

MR. PATTILIO: -- they requested \$28 million for implementation on the workforce investment side.

CHAIR KERNAN: We don't want to get ahead of the governor's budget.

1 MR. PATTILLO: No.

CHAIR KERNAN: But I know the Governor is very excited about the opportunity to do work in this particular area.

MR. PATTILLO: I was actually repeating Secretary Lanier's comments on that.

With that, is there any more questions for Mr. Fitch?

All right. Thank you. And I see the last one of --

CHAIR KERNAN: Is there any member of the public who would like to make a comment regarding this item? If so, please come forward and state your name.

And so Thy, on behalf of Michelle, to give us External Affairs update.

Secretary VUONG: Good morning.

As the Secretary stated, I am Thy Vuong, special assistant to General Manager Charles Patillo, here to present for Michele Kane, Chief of External Affairs.

Since the September Board meeting, CalPIA had two significant efforts in October. The first one was a grand opening of the Autodesk-Authorized Training Center in Folsom on October 12th, and then grand opening of the New Code 7370 Classroom at CIW on October 19th. Both these events received very good positive local media attention.

The new Autodesk-Authorized Training Center at FWF now includes two classrooms that can accommodate up to 28 students each, for a total of 56 students at a time, enabling more offenders to have the opportunity to take the program and earn certifications and AutoCAD, Revit, and

1 Inventors. The center remains the only Autodesk-Authorized 2 Training Center in the United States, housed in a state 3 prison. 4 Next at the New Code 7370 classroom at CIM or CIW, we 5 were pleased to partner with The Last Mile in the 6 institution to establish that program there. That classroom 7 can accommodate up to 24 students at a time, just another 8 way we have been able to partner with CDCR and The Last 9 Mile. 10 The Last Mile's Code 7370 program has had to date a "0" percent return prison rate. We look forward to 11 12 expanding that program, as Chuck just mentioned, and 13 maximizing opportunities for inmates to participate in 14 technology-based programs. 15 This week, tomorrow in fact, we have a Culinary Arts 16 program graduation at FWF. It is the first graduating class 17 to come out of that program. The 11 graduates of the 18 program are currently serving a five-course lunch, including 19 appetizers to all attending guests. 20 And I want to kind of run through some of the things 21 you will see tomorrow if you are able to attend: 22 Stuffed Mushroom with Ground Pork, 23 Fried Risotto Balls with Truffle Aioli, 24 Cream of Broccoli Cheddar Soup, 25 And house-made Butternut Squash Ravioli with Brown 26 Butter and Sage.

Short Rib with Carmelized Onions.

And this is the most important dish: The Braised

27

28

1 And last but not least, Chocolate Mousse for dessert. 2 If that does not entice you, hopefully we'll see you 3 tomorrow. 4 Then in January, we are graduating the first class of 5 students from CTE Labor Program that we have partnered with 6 DJJ, the Department of Juvenile Justice, to establish at the 7 N.A. Chaderjian Youth Correctional Facility. That will be 8 held on January 25th, and we'll send out invitations very 9 soon. 10 And then last, I want to get the Board know of the 11 Industry Board Meeting will be held January 29th, Monday, 12 and it will be either Sacramento or Folsom, Camp 12. 13 CHAIR KERNAN: So can you reschedule the 14 December meeting for, I don't know, let's go to Florida? 15 Thank you. Any questions of Thy regarding the 16 External Affairs update? All right. Wonderful. 17 We move on to the portion of the meeting reserved for 18 comment regarding items not on the agenda. 19 Under the Bagley-Keene Act, the Board cannot act on 20 items raised during public comment, but may respond briefly 21 to statements made or questions posed, or may request 22 clarification or refer the item to staff. 23 May I invite Michael up to make a comment. 24 MR. ULLOA: How is it going, members of the Board? 25 My name is Michael Ulloa, and I'm with Operating Engineers, 26 IUOE Local 501. 27 Just for the record, the IUOE represents Bargaining

Unit 12 members. We'd just like to request a meet and

28

confer prior to the implementation of this program, with the 1 2 essential goal to keep and maintain harmonious labor 3 relations. 4 CHAIR KERNAN: Very good. Thank you. 5 Would anybody else like to make a public comment? MR. PATTILLO: One or two. Just one. 6 7 MR. FISHER: Good morning, everyone. So status with 8 respect to what we're --9 CHAIR KERNAN: State your name. 10 MR. FISHER: Randy Fischer, Chief Administrative 11 Officer for CalPIA. 12 So what we're working on now was the GFRM program. We did have discussions back in May, 2017, and in 13 14 June actually the General Manager and myself had a meeting 15 on a test proposal, but it was not a formal meeting, it was 16 an informational meeting. 17 We went through a significant process of questions 18 that they brought forward and we actually went to CalHR, 19 which is the control agency with respect to unions, and had 20 discussions with them over specifically what classifications 21 we needed to do. 22 CalHR, at that time, did a review of the 23 classifications, they came back through and said that they 24 would be appropriate, and then we requested and they granted 25 us authority to notice the International New Operating 26 Engineers, Steve Crouch, who is the director of that 27 organization.

We did that back in October 30th of this year.

28

Under

their contract it requires a 60-day notification prior to implementation. So we have scheduled those negotiations.

We do not have authority to those negotiations, CalHR has retained authority for those negotiations. And so we have been working to schedule a date, and the soonest date that we and CalHR came up with was January 18th of next year.

Under the contract provisions to provide a 60-day notice we can't implement, prior for completing those discussions and those negotiations, and so that's why we're moving forward at this point.

We do have other meetings scheduled with IUOE, we have met several times, and we're working through trying to deal with some of their concerns and trying to get them addressed.

MR. PATTILLO: And I just wanted to come up after Michael spoke about the meet and confer. We have been working on the process, it's been a little bit slow. As we discussed, there's a \$1.9 million savings because of those delays. But in the end, what we're addressing is the increase in work orders.

CHAIR KERNAN: Is the January 18th meeting the actual meet and confer?

MR. FISHER: Yes, it is. It's with Nathaniel and CalhR, and he will be present also.

CHAIR KERNAN: Very good. Any last questions?

To the Board Members, I wish you all happy holidays.

Thank you so much for flying all this way so early in the

morning, not that I'm bitter in any way.

May I have a motion to adjourn the meeting, please?

DR. AGHAKHANIAN: Actually, I have a comment.

CHAIR KERNAN: Oh, please, go ahead.

We have one comment from a Board member, Mr. Singh.

VICE-CHAIR SINGH: Thank you.

DR. AGHAKHANIAN: First of all, I want to thank all of my colleagues and staff. This is my first year being here, so I want to take this opportunity to thank all of you, and it's been great and I'm looking forward to a productive year for 2018.

I also wanted to give a quick update about something I brought up, you know, two meetings ago, about the program that we had spoken about.

As some of you don't know, we are, starting March, we will be launching the first entrepreneurial and innovation in the county, in East Los Angeles College. It is specifically designed for women and minorities.

And this is not your typical take your mother and father to Career Day, this is a one-year intense program which includes not only the academic side of it, a very small portion, but includes angel investors, lawyers, accountants.

This is for individuals who want to start a business or already have one and want to take it to the next level. So this is where the Ubers and, you know, a lot of these companies you talk about getting started. The angel investor group will be run by an institution called OMNI.

It's a shark tank that has already been awarded some grants. And grants are actually asking for a certain amount of money of those angels, and 15 will be selected.

I know I brought up the idea that we'd love to have formally incarcerated females to be part of this program from the area. I just want to let you know that it is coming up in March. I know Chuck and I have spoken, but this is not, this is an expensive program. Again, this is quality. And so I will be discussing with Chuck the possibility of trying to see if we can help and bring someone in from the area.

Now if this program does well in its first year pilot, the whole district will be looking at this very closely to try to see, you know, how we can create a relationship between private entities.

And by the way, private entities are very good. Chase just gave us \$150,000 for this project, but that's not covering the whole thing. This is their interest because they are expecting to see the next Uber or Apple to come from the area, hoping they'll go back to them and get loans out.

Part of the program includes also micro-loans, because the biggest obstacle right now women are facing as the fasting-growing entrepreneurial sector is getting low; especially from the low income community, it's very difficult to get a loan.

So this is a great program. I know you have shown interest, I want to continue the discussion, and I'd love to

have one individual that will be recommended by you or Chuck, and if this works then the whole District 9 Colleges will probably adopt it.

Imagine having a program where we get women who have the opportunity, a lifetime opportunity to go to a program and to not only show them how to create or expand their entrepreneurial ideas, but maybe launch the next big intellectual property.

And Tesla Foundation is working with us as well and we have a bunch of companies who are very interested. So I would love to come back and talk with Chuck, and Chuck has been great about this.

But I just want to give you an update, and hopefully to get one person in who'll invite you to the lunch in March, and you will see what it is we're talking about.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR KERNAN: Thank you very much. You know, Board Members, PIA has placed itself as a integral part of the changes that we're implementing, and I know some of you are touched in the community side and the prisons, but there is so much positive things going on with the PIA.

So Chuck, and your staff, should be very proud of the hard work that you are doing. And for the Board Members to jump on a plane at 7:00 o'clock in the morning, while that's irritating, it's all worth it.

I'd like to thank Danny and RJD for hosting us, thank you, guys, very much. You're always accommodating, the prison looks great, there are a lot of programs going on

```
here, and we're very proud of what you are doing here as
 1
    well. So can I get a motion to adjourn?
 2
 3
           MR. JENKINS:
                         So moved.
 4
           CHAIR KERNAN: And a second.
 5
           MS. STEEB: I'll second.
           CHAIR KERNAN: All in favor say "aye."
 6
                  (All Board Members stated, "Aye.")
 7
           CHAIR KERNAN: This meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
 8
    Merry Christmas!
 9
10
                               ---000---
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	State of California)
4) ss. County of San Diego)
5	
6	I, ERIC L. THRONE, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
7	the State of California, do hereby certify that the
8	foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 43, inclusive, is a
9	complete, true, and correct transcription of the
10	stenographic notes as taken by me in the above-entitled
11	matter.
12	
13	Dated at San Diego, California, this 30th day of
14	December, 2017.
15	
16	ERIC L. THRONE, CSR No. 7855, RMR, CRR, CRC
17	Elico E. Illione, out no. 7000, letty of the
18	00
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	